UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,893	10/29/2001	Eduard K. de Jong	P-6992	2395
	7590 09/13/200 ICKAY & HODGSON	EXAMINER		
1900 GARDEN ROAD SUITE 220 MONTEREY, CA 93940			BATES, KEVIN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		mN
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
<b>,</b>	10/014,893	DE JONG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Bates	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio:  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 August 2007.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	•	
Disposition of Claims		,
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	=	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.	nents have been received. nents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received
Coo the attached detailed Office action for a	. not of the certified copies no	CTOOOTVOU.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-2-07.</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2155

## Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on August 27, 2007.

The Information Disclosure Statement received August 2, 2007 has been considered.

Claims 1-6 have been amended.

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiche (6092196) in view of Rode (6970904).

Regarding claims 1, 3, and 5, Reiche teaches a method for controlling user access to distributed resources on a data communications network (Column 8, lines 9 – 13), the method comprising:

Receiving, by a resource server peer group, a resource request for a resource stored on said resource server peer group, said resource request including, at time of <u>first</u> receipt of said resource request itself <u>from a user</u>, a request for said resource and a rights key credential (Column 9, lines 38 – 42), said rights key credential comprising:

Art Unit: 2155

at least one key to provide access to a resource on said data communications network (Column 9, lines 3 – 5) so that said at least one key is included in said resource request; and

a resource identifier (Column 9, lines 45 – 46) included in said resource request, said resource identifier comprising a resource server peer group ID and a user ID (Column 8, lines 65 – 66), said resource server peer group ID identifying said resource server peer group (Column 10, lines 50 – 63), said resource server peer group comprising at least one server that maintains a mapping between a user ID and said at least one key (Column 8, line 64 – Column 9, line 6; Column 10, lines 39 – 49); and

providing said resource by said resource server peer group when said resource server peer group matches said at least one key (Column 9, lines 63 – 66) with an identifier in a set of identifiers associated with said resource (Column 10, lines 50 – 63).

Reiche does not explicitly indicate that the user ID is a randomized user ID.

Rode teaches a system for controlling access to system resources (Abstract) that includes a unique identifier for the user as taught in Reiche, but further teaches that the identifier can be a uniformly chosen random number (Column 2, lines 45 – 54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rode's teaching of choosing a random number for the unique identifier in order to allow an identifier be chosen without contain any personal information about the user, allowing the system to keep the user anonymous.

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claims 2, 4, and 6, Reiche teaches a method for controlling user access to distributed resources on a data communications network (Column 8, lines 9 – 13), the method comprising:

receiving, by a resource server peer group, a resource request for a resource stored on said resource server peer group, said resource request including at time of receipt of said resource request itself, a request for said resource and a rights key credential (Column 9, lines 38 – 42), said rights key credential comprising:

at least one key to provide access to a resource on said data communications network (Column 9, lines 3 – 5) so that said at least one key is included in said resource request each of said at least one resource stored on a separate secure device (Figure 1, elements 120 and 150); and

a resource identifier <u>included in said resource request</u> (Column 9, lines 45 – 46), said resource identifier comprising a resource server peer group ID and a <u>user</u> ID (Column 8, lines 65 – 66), said resource server peer group ID identifying a resource server peer group (Column 10, lines 50 – 63), said resource server peer group comprising at least one server that maintains a mapping between a <u>user</u> ID and said at least one key (Column 10, lines 39 – 49); and

providing said resource by said resource server peer group when said <u>resource</u> server peer group matches said at least one key (Column 9, lines 63 – 66) an identifier in a set of identifiers associated with said resource (Column 10, lines 50 – 63) <u>so that receiving</u>, said providing and said matching are performed on said resource server peer group without accessing another server outside said resource server peer group.

Art Unit: 2155

Reiche does not explicitly indicate that the user ID is a randomized user ID.

Rode teaches a system for controlling access to system resources (Abstract) that includes a unique identifier for the user as taught in Reiche, but further teaches that the identifier can be a uniformly chosen random number (Column 2, lines 45 – 54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rode's teaching of choosing a random number for the unique identifier in order to allow an identifier be chosen without contain any personal information about the user, allowing the system to keep the user anonymous.

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Reiche teaches the method of claims 1 and 2, wherein said rights key credential further comprises a nested credential referring to at least one credential relating to a resource delivery mechanism (Column 10, lines 50 – 67).

Regarding claims 8 and 10, Reiche teaches the method of claims 7 and 9, wherein said providing said resource further comprises using said resource delivery mechanism.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 23, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the reference, Reiche, teaches multiple requests in order to perform all of the steps in claims 1-6. The examiner disagrees, Reiche discloses a single request for a resource, there are just different communication steps

Art Unit: 2155

as part of that single resource request, though it still meets the limitations of the claimed invention (Column 9, lines 57 – 63).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

or Tot

Kevin Bates. September 12, 2007